Tourists cause more harm than good to local people and environment. Do you agree or disagree?

It is widely acceptable that tourism has a number of obvious merits. <u>Despite</u> it containscontaining a few <u>deteriorate</u> <u>detrimental</u> <u>effects</u> to the environment. These ideas and my opinion will be discussed in further detail in this essay.

It goes without saying that the most apparent one would be <u>source</u> of revenue. Not only <u>provide jobs</u> opportunity but also it boosts income of local people. For instance in <u>suburb</u> of Tehran a lot of local women have been weaving carpets, <u>that carpets</u> have been sold to the <u>tourism</u> for many years and it leads to <u>improvement</u> of poverty in that region.

<u>Beside</u> this a second plus point could be that this can <u>improves</u> the quality of life for their people because it leads to <u>create</u> local <u>service</u> such as hospitals and hotels. Likewise, it <u>boost the</u> wealth of an area as well as amenities and facilities. Hence, if we attract <u>tourism</u> more, we will have <u>great</u> welfare.

In the opposition to the first group, it can destroy the habitat of animals and planets and it bring pollution to the environment. For example, when <u>تردد شهری</u> increases exhaust fumes from vehicles will <u>enhance</u>.

To sum up, if I <u>had been</u> the government I would <u>have</u> boost tourism. Because <u>regarding to of</u> <u>economical reason</u> and <u>the</u> standard of living. <u>It</u> pros <u>out weightoutweigh</u> the drawbacks.